

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/629,582	07/30/2003	Norihiro Hara		6199	
24956 73	590 04/26/2006	EXAMINER			
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C. 1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			CHANNAVAJJAI	CHANNAVAJJALA, SRIRAMA T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2166		

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/629,582	HARA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Srirama Channavajjala	2166	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 04 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ng replies: (1) an amendme se of Appeal (with appeal fe	nt, affidavit, or other evidence, whi e) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.3	ch 31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d	ate of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late	er than SIX MONTHS from the	mailing date of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.		IN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WI	IHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 C nsion and the corresponding a prtened statutory period for rep	mount of the fee. The appropriate exte oly originally set in the final Office action	nsion fee n; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37)	(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appea	e date of al. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu	t prior to the date of filing a	brief, will not be entered because	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cons			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)		,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or			es for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a co	rresponding number of fina	Illy rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121		on-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-	324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		•	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	will not be entered, or b) led below or appended.	☑ will be entered and an explanat	tion of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-16</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but to because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	pefore or on the date of filing sufficient reasons why the a	g a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be ent affidavit or other evidence is neces	tered sary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a 	ercome all rejections under	appeal and/or appellant fails to pro	be ovide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims a	ifter entry is below or attached.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but of the request for reconsideration has been considered but of the request for reconsideration has been considered but of the request for reconsideration has been considered but of the request for reconsideration has been considered but of the request for reconsideration has been considered but of the request for reconsideration has been considered but of the request for reconsideration has been considered but of the request for reconsideration has been considered but of the request for reconsideration has been considered but of the request for reconsideration has been considered but of the request for reconsideration has been considered but of the request for reconsideration has been considered but of the request for reconsideration has been considered but of the request for reconsideration has been considered but of the reconsideration has been considered by the reconsideration has be	loes NOT place the applica	ntion in condition for allowance bec	ause:
See Continuation Sheet. 13. M Note the attached Information Biochause Statement(s) (B)	TO/08/00 8TO 4440) B.		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (P'13. Other:	10/56/06 of P10-1449) Pa	aper No(s). <u>4/4/05</u>	
-	•		
	ř.	Srirama Channavajjala	
		Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 2166

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claimed invention rendered obvious [claims: 12-14]over Chow et al. US Patent No. 5874334 in view of Knudsen et al. US Patent No. 5584026. Also claims 15-16 anticipated by Chow et al. US Patent No. 5875334.,